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**Introduction**

The procedure of implantation is becoming an increasingly popular method for replacing teeth. The critical factor in the achievement of a therapeutic and aesthetic long-term effect is the accuracy and precision of implant placement, being the support for the future prosthetic work. Thanks to modern digital technologies, it is possible to plan the implantation virtually. Evaluation of this plan by 3D printing in a subsequent step allows the creation of implant guides. Using the guides, which provide precise information on implant placement and insertion depth and angle, allows the maintenance of all the parameters included in the planning stage, lowering the risk of a mistake during implantation. Using 3D printing allows the fabrication of both implant guides and study models that accurately represent patients’ true clinical conditions. This makes it possible to compare the precision of procedures under the *in vitro* conditions, which are safe and representative of actual requirements. During the implantation, clinical conditions very often hinder precise orientation in the operating field, thus the precision of implant positioning is lower. According to the literature, both more and less experienced clinicians face this problem. Introducing virtual planning based on CBCT is highly useful while preparing for the procedure; however, what allows the fully controlled preparation of the implantation site is the transfer of its result to the guide imposing the positioning. The virtually created implant guide can be printed using a 3D printer, sterilised and then used in the procedure. The use of the guide affects the precision of the procedure and shortens its time.

**Aim of the study**

The aim of the study is to prepare 3D models for the analysis of the precision of implant procedures performed on the basis of digital planning, conducted with and without the use of implant guides.

**Methodology**

Based on the CBCT examination of the patient, who underwent implantation in the mandible, a 3D model corresponding to the actual bone and mucosal conditions before implantation was created in DDS-Pro software...
It was then reprinted 20 times. The print was produced with selective laser sintering technology using polyamide powder in the TPM Elite P3600 SLS System printer (Solveere). It yielded ten identical pairs of mandibular models. Virtual planning (DDS-Pro; Fig. 1) of implant positioning and placement (TSIII, OSSTEM IMPLANT) and the implant guide, printed in 3D with Jet technology (ProJet MP 3000 printer, 3D Systems), with stock sleeves for three implants with regular platforms previously used clinically (sterilised), were used to intro-

![Fig. 2: Exemplary pair of models before the procedure: on the left, without the guide, and on the right, with the guide.](image1)

![Fig. 3: A model with the guide and implants after the implantation. The guide was stabilised with two posts.](image2)

![Fig. 4: The material was deposited on the drill attached to the extension.](image3)
duce implants into every second printed model, using the OsstemGuide KIT(Taper). The drilling speed was set at 1,200 rpm. Water cooling was not used. Osteotomies were performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Other models were used for implantation based on the planning performed, but without additional help (no guide), using the same implant kit and under the same conditions. As the test was conducted in vitro, TSIII training implants with dimensions of 4 × 10 mm were used. It was assumed that all ten procedures performed would yield the same results.

Findings

The use of 3D printed models allows implantation under conditions spatially corresponding to those of a clinical situation. However, the models printed in this study were hard. The material cut during osteotomy preparation was deposited on the drill and the implant thread, making it difficult to perform full-depth insertion. More torque was required to insert the implant than is clinically used. It was observed that, when an osteotomy was prepared in the vicinity of a preserved tooth, there was a need to use the drill extension in order to avoid leaning the contra-angle handpiece on the guide or tooth. Because this tool is missing in the OsstemGuide KIT(Taper), one must have an additional implant kit when using it clinically. The use of the guide shortens the implantation time, compared with the same procedure performed with no help of a guide.

In the following stage of the project, the models will be optically scanned and undergo comparative analysis in terms of repeatability, accuracy and compliance with the planned virtual goal.

Editorial note: The study is being carried out as a part of a project in the field of scientific developmental research aimed at the development of young scientists and students enrolled in PhD studies, financed as part of the scientific activity of the Medical University of Warsaw in Poland.
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